UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SYNOPSYS, INC

Plaintiff(s)

C 03-02289 PVT

-v
ORDER SETTING INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT

CONFERENCE

Defendant(s)

Defendant(s)

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this action is assigned to the Honorable Patricia V. Trumbull. When serving the complaint or notice of removal, the plaintiff or removing defendant must serve on all other parties a copy of this order, the handbook entitled "Dispute Resolution Procedures in the Northern District of California," the Notice of Assignment to United States Magistrate Judge for Trial, and all other documents specified in Civil Local Rule 4-2. Counsel must comply with the case schedule listed below unless the Court otherwise orders.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this action is assigned to the Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) Multi-Option Program governed by ADR Local Rule 3. Counsel and clients must familiarize themselves with that rule and with the handbook entitled "Dispute Resolution Procedures in the Northern District of California."

CASE SCHEDULE [ADR MULTI-OPTION PROGRAM]

Date	Event	Governing Rule
05/15/2003	Complaint filed	
08/26/2003	Last day to meet and confer re initial disclosures, early settlement, ADR process selection, and discovery plan	FRCivP 26(f) & ADR LR 3-5
08/26/2003	Last day to file Joint ADR Certification with Stipulation to ADR process or Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference	Civil L.R. 16-8
09/09/2003	Last day to complete initial disclosures or state objection in Rule 26(f) Report, file/serve Case Management Statement, and file/serve Rule 26(f) Report	FRCivP 26(a)(1) Civil L.R.16-9
09/16/2003	Case Management Conference in COURTROOM 5, SJ at 2:00 PM	Civil L.R. 16-10

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION 4 RICHARD W. WIEKING CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT Case No. _ NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA STANDING ORDER REGARDING CASE MANAGEMENT IN CIVIL CASES This order sets forth requirements for initial case management in all civil matters assigned to District 10 Judges James Ware, Ronald M. Whyte and Jeremy Fogel, and Magistrate Judges Patricia V. Trumbull, Richard Seeborg, and Howard R. Lloyd. All papers filed must include the case number of the action followed by the initials of the assigned district judge or magistrate judge and, if applicable, the initials of the magistrate judge to whom the action is referred for discovery or other pretrial activity.

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Plaintiff shall serve a copy of this Standing Order on all parties to this action and on all parties subsequently joined, in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 4 and 5. Following service, plaintiff shall file a certificate of service in accordance with Civil L.R. 5-6(a).

JUL 1 1 2002

All disclosure or discovery disputes in cases assigned to district judges are referred to the assigned magistrate judge for determination pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 72(a). Magistrate judges themselves handle disclosure and discovery disputes in the cases assigned to them.

Before selecting a hearing date for a motion before any of the judges of the San Jose Division, counsel must confer with opposing counsel to determine that the proposed hearing date will not cause undue prejudice.

Civil motions under Civil L.R. 7-2 in cases assigned to Judge Ware may be noticed for hearing on any Monday at 9:00 a.m.

Civil motions under Civil L.R. 7-2 in cases assigned to Judge Whyte may be noticed for hearing on any Friday at 9:00 a.m.

Dated: Effective on the date this order is filed, until further court order.

28

United States District Judge

Renard m. asyte Ronald M. Whyte United States District Judge

Jeremy Fogel United States District Judge

Patricia V. Trumbull United States Chief Magistrate Judge

Richard Seeborg United States Magistrate Judge

Howard R. Lloyd United States Magistrate Judge